

Fountain Valley School District
Superintendent's Office

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

10055 Slater Avenue
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

June 14, 2012

MINUTES

President Ian Collins called the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 6:01pm.

CALL TO ORDER

The following board members were present:

ROLL CALL

Ian Collins	President
Christine Allcorn	President Pro Tem
Sandra Crandall	Clerk
Jimmy Templin	Member
Judith Edwards	Member

Motion: Mrs. Edwards moved to approve the meeting agenda.

AGENDA APPROVAL

Second: Mrs. Allcorn

Vote: 5-0

There were no requests to address the Board prior to closed session.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Collins announced that the Board would retire into Closed Session. Action was anticipated. The following would be addressed:

CLOSED SESSION

- Personnel Matters: *Government Code 54957 and 54957.1*
Appointment/Assignment/Promotion of employees; employee discipline/dismissal/release; evaluation of employee performance; complaints/charges against an employee; other personnel matters.
- Negotiations: *Government Code 54957.6*
Update and review of negotiations with the FVEA and CSEA Bargaining Units with the Board's designated representative, Mrs. Cathie Abdel.
- Public Employee Performance Evaluation: *Government*

Code Section 54957 & 54957.1

The board will meet in closed session to discuss the annual performance evaluation of the superintendent.

The public portion of the meeting resumed at 7:02pm.
Boy Scout Troop 412 led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

The Community Advisory Committee has created an award to recognize individuals who have encouraged others or who have received the benefits of caring individuals in a very special way. The 2nd annual Excellence in Special Education Awards honors 58 individuals nominated by teachers, parents and staff members. Director, Support Services, Abby Bickford was joined by Kim Yap in presenting these awards.

COMMUNITY ADVISORY
COMMITTEE
EXCELLENCE IN
EDUCATION

Each year, the Board of Trustees honors those volunteers who have made an outstanding contribution to education in the Fountain Valley School District. The Outstanding Service Award is based on service and leadership given beyond the local school and includes participation on district committees, councils, task forces, as well as local school service. Board President Ian Collins and Board representative to the Outstanding Service Award committee Jimmy Templin were proud to present the 2011-12 Outstanding Service Award on behalf of the Board of Trustees to Nicola Weiss.

OUTSTANDING SERVICE
AWARD PRESENTATION

The Action Committee for Education (ACE) is a broad-based school community legislative action group, which addresses current issues and sponsors annual projects to promote and benefit not only the Fountain Valley School District but also, public education in general. Representatives Alan Gandall, Ian Collins, Laura Gilmore and Crystal Abbott made a presentation to the Board of Trustees on ACE's recent participation in the Sacramento Safari.

PRESENTATION BY THE
ACTION COMMITTEE
FOR EDUCATION (ACE)
ON THE SACRAMENTO
SAFARI

STAFF REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS

Ann Nock with George K. Baum presented to the Board of Trustees findings of the recent community survey related to funding technology needs in the district. Ms. Nock reviewed the methodology and conclusions of the survey conducted over June 3rd and 4th to 400 likely voters in the electorate. In general it was found that voters are supportive of a GO Bond and based on turnout and other factors, November 2012 would be an excellent opportunity for the district to consider

PRESENTATION OF
COMMUNITY SURVEY
RESULTS BY GEORGE
K. BAUM (ORAL AND
WRITTEN)

pursuing an election. The survey found that voters do believe that technology will enhance education and will increase student knowledge in science and technology fields. The survey also showed that voters in the electorate do believe in the connection between quality schools/educational programs and property values. It was noted that those surveyed were asked both at the beginning and end of the survey if they would support a bond. At the begin, 56% indicated definitely or probably yes and at the end of the survey 54% indicated definitely or probably yes. Ms. Nock explained that the survey shows the district is at the level that it would need to be to pass the bond but that it is significant that 60% of the electorate does not have a child in one of our schools, therefore making it critical to determine how to communicate with this group. She explained that they are supportive once they understand what this technology means, the benefit it will have and that it will keep up with what is going on in the rest of the state. It was also noted that there is a great deal of consistency amongst those surveyed, which is very encouraging. This included consistency amongst the support for a bond and the support for the tax associated with the bond, both at or above 50% as explained. Mrs. Allcorn asked if the district goes ahead with placing a bond on the ballot, if the expense of the election and G. K. Baum's fee would be included in the bond if it passes. Ms. Nock explained that G. K. Baum's fee is to underwrite the bond and this fee would be paid by the District if the bond passes; the cost of the election is attributable to the issuance of the bond and therefore a permissible, legal expense and included in the bond. If the bond does not pass, then the cost of the election, assigned by the County Registrar, would still need to be paid by the district but would then be the only expense that the district would have. Mrs. Allcorn noted that we do have a very well informed and smart electorate, to our benefit and reflected in the consistency reported by the survey. Mrs. Crandall noted that she does not yet know the cost for writing the preliminary and final ballot statement as charged by G. K. Baum but that she has researched the cost charged to other districts already having gone through this, noting that writing the preliminary and final ballot statements seems to have a charge. Ms. Nock noted that writing the ballot statement is a function of the bond counsel, as it is a legal document, and is generally done on a contingency basis. She explained that G. K. Baum only charges a single fee for the underwriting of the bond, if it passes, but for the exception of a ratings presentation if the district were to choose to go to the ratings agency in San Francisco in person if the district feels it is better to do this to acquire a higher rating then through a conference call. Mrs. Crandall noted that she is waiting a call

back from the Registrar of Voters with an estimate of what the election would cost the district. Dr. Ecker explained that if the board does decide to place this item on the June 28th agenda for consideration then Mr. McMahon will provide an estimate of the costs to the district so that they may make their final decision with this information. Mrs. Crandall noted her research on the timeline, noting that this must be filed by July 17th and within 10 days after this if there are any opposition arguments we would then need rebuttal arguments to be drafted, with the cost of such arguments required to come out of the General Fund. Ms. Nock noted that she was unfamiliar with the County charging for additional rebuttal pages and has never seen this on a bill for any of G. K. Baum's clients. Mrs. Crandall noted that none of those involved in her research are G. K. Baum clients. Ms. Nock explained that there were rebuttal arguments in Santa Ana, translated into several languages and there was not an additional cost for these in a June election with low turnout in 2008. Mr. Templin asked whether or not the district will be able to find out what the cost will be prior to the board having to make a decision. Ms. Nock confirmed that if the district asks the County Registrar's office for an estimated cost, they will be given an estimate. Dr. Ecker noted that if the Board is to consider placing the item on the June 28th agenda for action, they will have an estimate of the costs prior to the Board making their decision; including clarifying any costs associated with possible rebuttal arguments. Mr. McMahon confirmed that the County Registrar will provide this information by tomorrow and as soon as this is received he will forward this to the Board. Mr. Collins asked if there would be a change in the outcome of the survey if the word "iPad" was taken out. Ms. Nock noted that in working with bond counsel, there was a lot of concern about using the word "iPad" in a ballot argument for reasons including that it is a trademarked item and noted that it will be a broader term like "technology" in the ballot statement and campaign. A term like this would have most likely given better survey results. Regarding the slide discussing "Initial and Final Votes Combined", Mrs. Crandall asked Ms. Nock if she agrees with the general rule of thumb regarding thresholds at 60% this far out from the election. Ms. Nock noted that this rule of thumb is more regarding statewide initiatives related to media markets and media buys. She noted that in contrast, given that this is a local initiative and that the district has not been putting out materials for the last 6-8 months, these results are very good. Dr. Ecker noted as well that in statewide initiatives they must also face organized opposition campaigns, the same will not be the case for the district and a bond initiative which although may have those not in support of

the bond will not likely have to face a funded organized opposition campaign. Mrs. Allcorn asked about the change from 23% definitely no to 34% definitely no after the survey was conducted. Ms. Nock explained that in general 1/3 of the population will always vote no, regardless. But the increase in definitely no can also be attributed to the gained understanding that the bond will involve a tax. Mrs. Crandall noted her understanding that a general obligation bond also covers commercial property, and asked if G. K. Baum contacted any of our local commercial property owners as a part of the survey. Ms. Nock explained that only local registered voters were contacted as they are the only ones that can participate in this election. Mrs. Crandall noted however that a company could fund an opposition statement as they are a huge taxpayer that would then have to pay even more. Regarding the timeline, Mrs. Crandall noted her research that Huntington Beach City School District decided in January, after a study session, that they did not have enough time to put together a successful campaign in addition to the fact that two other possible State initiatives would be on the ballot. She also noted that Ocean View School District started with a Facilities Funding Advisory Committee composed of 24 members meeting 15 times over 8 months starting in 2011 to come to the conclusion to place a bond on the ballot, noting this very long lead time and that in putting together this committee, the district engaged a good portion of their stakeholders that would also be possible voters and their information piece began very early. She noted that in Ocean View it was \$18,000 to draft the preliminary and final official statement. Ms. Nock did note that this if and when they pass and is charged by the lawyer, not something that she could necessarily speak to regarding costs. Mrs. Crandall noted as well that in Savannah School District in 2008 a bond was passed, and during the previous election they sought to pass an extension of \$6. While in 2008 it passed by 72.5%, the extension only passed by 58% in the last election. Lastly, she noted that in looking at Brea Olinda, which had a bond in 1999, in 2012 they did a survey and went forward with putting a bond on the recent ballot, which did not pass at 51%. She noted that although there are differences, she noted the importance of taking a look at what is going on in Orange County as a guideline, noting that only 23 of 35 passed in the recent election, a lesser amount than in the past. Ms. Nock confirmed this statistic, noting though that many of the ones that lost did not conduct surveys in advance, or ignored the results of their surveys while some as well placed projects on that were extremely unpopular or far beyond the tax threshold of the electorate. She noted that there are a number of issues with one

being the need to listen to what voters want. She noted that some districts that lost ignored the advice of their consultants to go on the November ballot, instead choosing to go in June because they did not want to place their bond on the ballot with their board election. Mr. Collins noted his understanding that demographics in a school district make a huge difference when considering a bond, noting that Fountain Valley seems to have a population, certainly amongst those with students in the district, that supports our district and noted that students in our district achieve at a very high level and local businesses are aware of this in general terms. He asked if all of this would make a difference after a campaign on a bond's success. Ms. Nock noted that if a district does not produce a good educational product and experiences declining enrollment because students are leaving the district to go elsewhere, it does not matter what the district's need is, a bond will not pass. She noted that the Fountain Valley School District does not have this set of facts, in addition to having a stable board and stable administration. Mr. Collins noted in regard to Mrs. Crandall's mention of the shorter timeline that perhaps this may work to our advantage, as when things are dragged out for too long, people tire of hearing the same thing. Ms. Nock noted that in some instances the long timeline is due to a lack of knowledge of the district or endemic issues within the district that have gone on for a long time and require focus prior to allowing the district to go forward. Mr. Collins noted that parents and real estate professional will speak to the quality of the Fountain Valley School District. He also noted that Mrs. Crandall's concern of local businesses realizing they will pay more is valid. Mr. Collins suggested that based on the Board's need for more information, the board should vote as to whether or not the item should be included on the next agenda, allowing the Board time to make a sound decision after reviewing the requested information. Dr. Ecker asked that considering that the district has never run a bond issue, while Ms. Nock has extensive experience with bonds, with the results that the district has received how they compare to the norm. Ms. Nock explained that the results, compared to those of districts with similar registration and electorates, are similar to what would be expected given that the district went out cold and without a lot of dialogue with the electorate. She noted that if the Board decides to pursue this, they would be making a commitment along with the superintendent to make sure that people are informed. She noted that the tax asked for is very moderate, comparatively. She noted that if the district focuses on all of the voters, paying attention to all of the voters, the district will have a good chance of winning. But this will involve a strong commitment and more importantly, running a ground

campaign, phoning all of our voters and walking precincts in addition to raising enough money in order to communicate with those that cannot be reached on the phone by mailings. It will involve an aggressive effort internally and externally. Dr. Ecker asked if there is enough time for this. Ms. Nock explained that irrespective of how much time has been spent on this in the past, this is the time that most districts are making their decisions with the Fountain Valley School District being at the same starting point as other districts. She noted the need for volunteers with a priority on phone banking starting in August and September. And she noted the importance of having everyone organized; all challenges that everyone would have regardless if they started a year ago. She also noted that the campaign committee will need to be committed to raising money, most of which will come from vendors. Mrs. Crandall noted the 42.5% of Orange County voters who request absentee ballots, a population to "chase" that moves the timeline up. She noted as well her conversation with Mrs. Abdel regarding the 57% of classified employees and 30% of our teachers who work and live within the district and her feeling that it is important as we consider five or more furlough days and therefore pay cuts to be mindful of the impact on these employees of additional taxes. Dr. Ecker noted that many of those that do not live within our district could also be subjected to a tax from the districts they do live in as well, still earning the same income from us. Mrs. Crandall expressed her interest in seeing the overlapping taxes from other agencies in our electorate. She also noted the \$5.3 million needed as described in the education technology plan over five years although the bond we would be going out for would be \$19 million. She asked why there is such a difference in these amounts if it was because the bond is over 25 years while the plan covers 5 years. Mr. McMahon noted that the bond involves upfront costs associated with preparing the sites structurally for the technology plan, including construction and wiring, etc. He explained that with the bond we would be able to go through the technology plan and implementation a lot quicker than without. He noted as well the limited lifecycle of equipment and the required refreshment basis, with the bond spanning 25 years the district could not expect do to a single purchase of equipment given that most have a 4-5 year life cycle. Mrs. Crandall noted that her research indicated that sometimes districts do not get the money in that they expected, causing them to need to go out for a second bond. She noted that the delinquency rate of taxable properties in Fountain Valley is 1.5%, relatively low. She noted that however, we are a Teeter county and a Teeter district, and each July the Board of Supervisors decides if it will continue the Teeter plan. She explained that if revenues do not

come in, districts receive 95% and mid-July they are then made whole receiving the rest. She noted that County funds are starting the dry up as well though. Given this, Mrs. Crandall asked if there were any indication that the Board of Supervisor will pull the Teeter plan, noting that while perhaps not that drastic for us given the 1.5% delinquency rate, it is something she would like to know. Mr. McMahon noted that the way schools are funded, a portion comes from property taxes, a portion from Education Reserve Augmentation Funds (ERAF) and the remainder made up by State funds. With revenue limit, if the property taxes drop, the State portion has to pick up the difference, something that causes problems for the State as property taxes have dropped. If the County Board of Supervisors changes property taxes, it changes the ratio. These three things fluctuate to reach the revenue limit regardless and the district is made whole one way or another. It is not as much a concern than if we were a basic aid district. Mrs. Crandall asked, given that the campaign needs to be a completely information based campaign, if the campaign will include information as to the increase in the tax if property values rise or if inflation comes into play. Ms. Nock explained that the tax is per \$100,000 of assessed value, meaning that if the assessed value increases, the tax could increase, depending on the collections. Ms. Nock noted that it is presumed that rates will go up 2% a year, based on the limit of Proposition 13. Inflation is not discussed as it is not known what inflation will be. The tax statement does discuss the first year, the highest year and the date of the last issuance, included in the sample ballot.

APPROVAL TO PLACE
TECHNOLOGY BOND
ON JUNE 28 AGENDA

Motion: Mrs. Edwards moved to place the bond on the next agenda.

Second: Mrs. Allcorn

Vote: 5-0

Assistant Superintendent, Business Steve McMahon provided an update for the Board of Trustees on the State Budget and its effect on the Fountain Valley School District.

BUDGET UPDATE
(ORAL AND WRITTEN)

He discussed the State budget including the State finances and the \$15.7 billion estimated shortfall. He noted that current year income is flat and below budget. He noted that there is some optimism in housing. He explained that all the indicators are positive at this point, a good sign going forward, although it is a lukewarm recovering at best, with unemployment only slightly down from last month at 10.9% in April. California's unemployment rate is still higher than the Federal rate. He noted

the three largest sources of income for the State: personal income tax, sales/use tax and corporation tax, with the State at \$2.5 billion below the estimate income this year. The Department of Finance has estimated revenues and spending five times in the last 16 months ranging from \$87.3 billion in January 2011 to \$86.3 billion in January 2012. The estimate for 2012-13 is \$95.7 billion, an increase of over 10% from last year and largely based upon the passing of the tax initiatives. He noted that the proposed State budget for education includes flat funding, no COLA, increases to the deficit factor to 22.272% and a \$479/student reduction if the tax plan is not passed in November 2012. OCDE recommends budgeting or developing a contingency plan if the tax plan fails of \$3 million. The State budget also includes a weighted funding proposal to replace the current revenue limit funding. Mr. McMahon also explained that revenue funding per student should be at \$6396 per student in 2012-13 given the base revenue limit but, without the tax initiative we will only be at \$4493 per student. He noted the risks of the State's budget including the tax proposal's passing and whether or not the State's projections are still accurate; and challenges including planning for a shorter school year, whether or not the weighted funding formula will be implemented, how much longer we can continue to spend our reserves, mandated costs and transitional Kindergarten. The district's enrollment at 6300 shows little change with no change to the district's 29:1 student to teacher ratio. The calendar includes 175 days of instruction unless the trigger cuts \$479 per student, causing the school year to be reduced to 170 days. He noted that the 2012-13 budget will be brought to the Board for adoption at the June 28th meeting. Mrs. Crandall asked about the cost of step and column to this district, to which Mr. McMahon explained that it equates about 2% or \$450,000.

BOARD REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Mrs. Crandall congratulated the CAC award recipients this evening as well as Nicola Weiss on her receiving of the Outstanding Service Award. She thanked ACE for their hard work on the Sacramento Safari. She enjoyed tours of Talbert and Tamura as well as attending the Classified Recognition Night, Certificated Retirement luncheon, and carnivals at Gisler and Oka. She noted the tremendous amount of give from parents in the district. She also enjoyed Fountain Valley High School's Broadway Under the Stars and the Kindergarten musical performance at Courreges. She noted that Mr. Fountain Valley was successful in raising \$8200. She attended the OCSBA

BOARD REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

reception where School Services presented. She attended the Twilight meeting for the Boys and Girls Club, noting that the most popular stop is Plavan and Arabic speaking families are a new addition. She noted as well that most of the adults participating are reaching their EL Benchmarks through this program. She conducted the final Community Volunteer Academy presentation, noting that attendance was consistent with our other presentations at 24 attendees. She attended the Vicki Gibson differentiated instruction presentation, noting that this provides the board points to look for as they tour. She participated in CSBA webinars on developing assessment tools for transitional Kindergarten and qualified and negative certification status, noting that the later provided information as to what governance teams should be looking for so as not to become one of 188 with negative status.

Mr. Templin enjoyed the Angel Game with Courreges, noting they participated in the most cowboy hats at a game for the Guinness Book of Records. He also enjoyed Donuts with Dad with the Courreges 1st graders and a date with his daughter to Fountain Valley High School's Broadway Under the Stars. He also enjoyed Mr. Fountain Valley as well as the Fountain Valley triathlon where he was pleased to report that his family participated with his 3 kids running 3 miles, biking 14 miles and swimming 200 yards. He also participated in a parent night for parents of kids in Special Education transitioning from elementary to middle school. He noted 12-14 teachers from our district were joined by staff from the Huntington Beach districts at this event.

Mrs. Edwards, enjoyed the Vicki Gibson differentiated instruction presentation, noting that it was helpful as well as engaging. She enjoyed the Classified Recognition Night noting that it was truly a memorable evening. She enjoyed the music concert at Courreges with Ms. Silavs, noting that it was lovely. And she enjoyed the FVEA/FVSD certificated retirement luncheon noting that she was delighted to see this as a combined event as it does make it more special. She attended the OCSBA meeting to hear Ron Bennett speak at the Lyons Air Museum. She also participated in Board Member Action Day (BMAD) where board members visited legislators in their local offices instead of Sacramento. She noted meeting with Representative Alan Mansoor and an aid from Senator Tom Harmon's office. She also enjoyed a visit to Tamura and attended the CSBA Delegate Assembly where discussion was glum, focusing on the financial situation and the two initiatives.

Mrs. Allcorn enjoyed Fountain Valley High School's Broadway Under the Stars as well as the Mr. Fountain Valley pageant. She noted that by reaching out to and having so many groups involved, the Foundation truly made this a community event; it is a good model for how to reach those families that do not have kids in our District. She also participated in Summerfest and spoke with next year's coordinator on how to get more activities going.

Mr. Collins enjoyed 5 days at Cardiff by the Sea and June Lake in the Sierras in addition to Mr. Fountain Valley, and being the MC at the Character Awards in Huntington Beach. He also participated in the event at Applebees to support the FVHS marching band. He enjoyed the Classified Recognition as well as a visit to Talbert, the FVEA/FVSD Certificated Retirement Luncheon and the OCSBA meeting at the Lyons Museum. And he enjoyed the FVEF meeting with the installation of new officers

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no requests to address the Board of Trustees.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Motion: Mr. Templin moved to approve Revision to Board Policy 6163.4: Student Use of Technology/Acceptable Use Policy for first reading.

**REVISION TO BOARD
POLICY 6163.4:
STUDENT USE OF
TECHNOLOGY/ACCE
PTABLE USE POLICY
(FIRST READING)**

Second: Mrs. Crandall

Mrs. Edwards noted that it is well written and timely. Mr. Collins agreed.

Vote: 5-0

Mr. Collins requested to pull item 7G Agreement with the Assistance League of Huntington Beach for Continued Participation in Operation School Bell. Mrs. Crandall requested to pull Item 7A Minutes from the May 17th Board Meeting.

Motion: Mrs. Allcorn moved to approve the Consent Calendar with the exception of items 7A and 7G.

**CONSENT
CALENDAR/
ROUTINE ITEMS OF
BUSINESS**

Second: Mrs. Edwards

Vote: 5-0

Motion: Mrs. Edwards moved to approve items 7A Minutes from the May 17th Board Meeting and 7G Agreement with the Assistance League of Huntington Beach for Continued Participation in Operation School Bell.

Second: Mr. Templin

Regarding item 7A, Mrs. Crandall noted the correction of a typo in the minutes from the May 17th Board meeting.

Regarding item 7G, Mr. Collins commended the Assistance League for all that they do for not just our district but all of those districts in the area.

Vote: 5-0

The Consent Calendar included:

- Board Meeting Minutes from May 17th board meeting
- Personnel Items (Employment Functions, Workshops/Conferences, and Consultants)
- Donations
- Warrants
- Purchase Order Listing
- Budget Transfers
- Approval of Agreement with the Assistance League of Huntington Beach for Continued Participation in Operation School Bell
- Approval of Document Tracking Services as SARC/SPSA Consultant
- Approval of Name Change for Bergman Dacey Goldsmith Formerly Known as Bergman & Dacey
- Approval of Intel-Assess as Provider of Assessment Program
- Approval of Over-the-Counter (OTC) Product Administration
- Approval of Legal Services Provided by Best Best & Krieger, LLP, Attorneys at Law
- Approval of Medi-Cal Administrative Activities (MAA) Participation Agreement
- Approval of Agreement for Communication Services with Parentlink
- Adoption of Resolution 2012-25: SCR Annual Membership Approval

- Approval of Acceptance of Memorandum of Understanding with Fullerton School District and Laguna Beach Unified School District for Refurbishing Science Kits for 2012-13
- Approval of Run-off Agreement with Keenan & Associates

Mr. Collins made the following Closed Session Announcement:

CLOSED SESSION
READOUT

“In closed session, the governing board took action by a vote of 5 to 0 to direct the Superintendent to notify employee #2000000547 of release from the administrative position of principal effective June 30, 2012, and of the employee’s placement on the certificated salary schedule for a teaching assignment in 2012-2013.”

NEW ITEMS OF BUSINESS

- | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mrs. Edwards | Presented to Mrs. Allcorn a Certificate of Appreciation for her service to the OCSBA Board. |
| Dr. Ecker | Noted that he joined Mrs. Crandall and their spouses at Grad Night at the FVHS and noted what a remarkable evening this continues to be. |
| Dr. Ecker | Reminded the Board of the upcoming promotions in the district next week, a fun time that we are all looking forward to. |
| Dr. Ecker | Thanked the Board for their support of Mr. Fountain Valley, noting that it was a great community event with all of the organizations making money. He noted that with the addition of ticket sales to be divided with the Foundation that we could get to \$10,000 raised. |
| Dr. Ecker | Noted that at a recent Rotary meeting, Chief Llorens spoke and noted the change in law enforcement with the advents of technology, similar to those changes needed in our own technology policy this evening. |
| Dr. Ecker | Thanked the Board for their discussion this evening on the bond issue and encouraged the Board to look into our technology plan |

including speaking with Dr. Hoefer to increase their understanding of where we would like to go as a district.

Mrs. Edwards Expressed her interest for Dr. Ecker and Mr. McMahon to provide the Board additional explanation of the Weighted Student Formula.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Mrs. Allcorn moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:35pm.

Second: Mrs. Edwards

Vote: Unanimously approved

/rl